

Nutrient- gene interactions: Knowledge to action within an IUNS Task Force

Personalized nutrition and nutritional genomics are globally becoming important areas of interest that should complement the knowledge and applications in order to benefit not only individualized wellbeing issues but also to fulfill public health demands. The accessibility to modern technologies, the availability of recognized and new biomarkers based on genome data and the understanding of genotype information are three relevant tasks that have been developed and investigated in personalized nutrition frameworks.

Nutrition and dietary intake are essential elements concerning the interactions between environment and genes for achieving a health status. Furthermore, personalized nutrition would be based on the principle that food or nutrients may be risk or protection factors for preventing and treating various diseases, whose performance depends on their ability to regulate the expression of genes and on the genetic predisposition of the individual. Thus, the interplay of personalized nutrition depends either on genetic background information (e.g. heritage and epigenetic markers), and on biological (physical activity, history of diseases, intolerances, and allergies) and cultural variation (e.g. food preferences, religion, and food accessibility) as well as on own likes and dislikes.

In turn, nutritional genomics is the science that involves all “omics” approaches (e.g. transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, foodomics, lipidomics, metagenomics, etc.) to explain how food/ nutrients and genes interact and are expressed to reveal individual phenotype differences, including health or disease condition. It also includes research in nutrigenetics, nutrigenomics, nutriepigenetics, and systems biology. Furthermore, nutritional genomics can contribute in personalized nutrition, in order to discover reliable biomarkers of dietary response or to achieve individual requirements.

In this context, the occurrence of “single nucleotide polymorphisms” (SNPs), which consist in replacement of a single nitrogenous base in a nucleotide of DNA and occur in at least 1% of population, may affect synthesis and functions of proteins and therefore may alter nutritional needs and nutrient metabolism. SNPs in candidate genes may interact with energy, micronutrient and macronutrient provided by the dietary prescription.

Interindividual differences in disease susceptibility depend not only on the DNA sequence (e.g. SNPs) but also on epigenetic factors affecting gene expression such as DNA methylation, covalent histone modifications, chromatin folding and the regulatory actions of miRNA. From an epigenetics perspective, the identification of those individuals that at an early age could present changes in the methylation profiles of specific genes could help to predict their susceptibility to later develop obesity and related-comorbidities in later life, which may allow to prevent and follow-up its progress, as well as to research and develop newer preventive and therapeutic approaches. In addition, the recent description of protocols for tailored dietary treatments based on algorithms are facilitating their possible applications in individuals nutrition.

Even so, there are some challenges concerning nutritional genomics and implementation in nutritional prevention and treatment. One of them is that most SNPs prevalence differ in importance depending on ethnic background, so even more studies with large and/or mixed populations, and with different racial subgroups are required. The cost of the genetic analyses and the personalized advice must be lowered in order to extend the use of nutritional genomics to public health and population practices. Furthermore,

nutritionists and other health professionals must be familiar with the genetic information of these tests and be able to translate the genetic results into personalized nutrition. Thus, continuing education at the undergraduate and postgraduate levels for the Nutrition professionals should be encouraged. Finally, concrete implementation of the regulation and provision of better information of the benefits and limitations of using Nutritional Genomics for consumers are still required as well as the clarification and harmonization of emerging ethical issues. Overall, the promotion of scientific events in the Nutritional Genomics area and the coordination of existing consortia /societies concerning this field, such as Nutrigenomics Organisation – NUGO and the International Society for Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics – ISNN also must be encouraged to interact with IUNS.

Interestingly, the “Nutrient- gene interactions: Knowledge to action” is an IUNS Task Force that since 2005 has been specifically devoted to these endeavors. Indeed, the number of publications/articles related to nutritional genomics and associated branches such as Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics are continuously evolving, (near 1.000 documents with more than 12.000 citations since 2001), which is paving the way to provide a successful and efficient personalized nutrition for a healthy life in the community.

Bennett GG, Steinberg DM, Stoute C, Lanpher M, Lane I, Askew S, Foley PB, Baskin ML. Electronic health (eHealth) interventions for weight management among racial/ethnic minority adults: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2014;Suppl 4:146-58.

de Roos B. Personalised nutrition: ready for practice? *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2013; 72(1):48-52.

De Caterina R. Opportunities and challenges in nutrigenetics/nutrigenomics and health. *J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics.* 2010;3(4-6):137-43

Fallaize R, Macready AL, Butler LT, Ellis JA, Lovegrove JA. An insight into the public acceptance of nutrigenomic-based personalised nutrition. *Nutr Res Review* 2013, 26: 39-48..

Goñi L, Milagro FI, Cuervo M, Martínez JA. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms and DNA methylation markers associated with central obesity and regulation of body weight. *Nutr Rev.* 2014. Nov;72(11):673-90.

Kaput J, Rodriguez RL. Nutritional genomics: The next frontier in the postgenomic era. *Physiol Genomics.* 2004;16:166-177.

Martínez JA, Navas-Carretero S, Saris WH, Astrup A. Personalized weight loss strategies-the role of macronutrient distribution. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2014. Dec;10(12):749-60.

Martínez JA, Cordero P, Campión J, Milagro FI. Interplay of early-life nutritional programming on obesity, inflammation and epigenetic outcomes. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2012 May;71(2):276-83.

Martínez JA, Parra MD, Santos JL, Moreno-Aliaga MJ, Martí A, Martínez-Gonzalez MA. Genotype-dependent response to energy-restricted diets in obese subjects: towards personalized nutrition. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2008;17 Suppl 1:119-22.

Martínez JA. Perspectives on personalized nutrition for obesity. *J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics.* 2014;7(1):I-III.

San-Cristobal R, Milagro FI, Martínez JA. Future challenges and present ethical considerations in the use of personalized nutrition based on genetic advice. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2013 Nov;113(11):1447-54.

